

CREDIT OPINION

5 February 2016

New Issue

Contacts

Lauren Von Bargaen 212-553-4491
 Analyst
 lauren.vonbargaen@moodys.com

Robert Weber 212-553-7280
 VP-Senior Analyst
 robert.weber@moodys.com

Spartanburg County School District 7, SC

New Issue: Moody's Assigns Aa2 underlying and Aa1 enhanced to Spartanburg CSD 7's (SC) \$5.5M GO Bonds, Ser. 2016A

Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa2 underlying and Aa1 enhanced rating to Spartanburg County School District 7's (SC) \$5.5 million General Obligation Bonds, Series 2016A. Moody's maintains a Aa2 underlying rating on the district's \$41 million in parity GO debt outstanding.

The Aa2 underlying rating reflects the district's moderately sized tax base with below average socioeconomic factors, stable reserve position and above average, but manageable, debt and pension burdens.

The Aa1 enhanced rating and stable outlook is based on the additional security provided by the South Carolina School District Credit Enhancement Program (SCSDCEP). The program assures timely debt service payment through county and state government coordination and is backed by sizable annual state appropriations under the state's Education Finance Act. As the SCSDCEP is a state-backed enhancement program, the program's rating shadows the state's rating and consequently carries the stable outlook that is assigned to the state's Aaa general obligation rating.

Credit Strengths

- » Moderately-sized tax base expected to experience ongoing growth given development throughout the county
- » Sound reserve levels bolstered by conservative budgeting practices
- » Manageable debt burden

Credit Challenges

- » Below average socioeconomic factors
- » High pension burden

Rating Outlook

Outlooks are not typically assigned to local government issuers with this amount of debt outstanding.

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Significant growth in the tax base coupled with improved socioeconomic factors
- » Increased reserve and liquidity position
- » Decreased debt and pension burdens

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Tax base deterioration
- » Reduction in reserves due to ongoing structural imbalance
- » Substantial increase in debt beyond current plans

Key Indicators

Exhibit 1

Spartanburg County School District 7, SC

Spartanburg County School District 7, SC	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 3,624,426	\$ 3,738,391	\$ 3,802,290	\$ 3,784,352	\$ 3,081,929
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 65,761	\$ 68,956	\$ 70,015	\$ 69,684	\$ 56,750
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	72.5%	70.8%	67.6%	67.6%	67.6%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$ 102,056	\$ 98,451	\$ 100,382	\$ 99,735	\$ 108,564
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	12.9%	18.6%	17.1%	16.6%	13.6%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	17.4%	18.8%	19.3%	20.2%	22.6%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ 66,263	\$ 63,499	\$ 61,150	\$ 53,708	\$ 48,845
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.6x	0.6x	0.6x	0.5x	0.5x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.8%	1.7%	1.6%	1.4%	1.6%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	N/A	2.0x	2.3x	2.5x	2.3x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	N/A	5.2%	6.0%	6.6%	8.3%

Source: Moody's Investors Service

Recent Developments

Recent developments incorporated in the Detailed Rating Considerations.

Detailed Rating Considerations

Economy and Tax Base: Continued Development Supports Tax Base and Job Growth

The district will continue to see moderate tax base expansion given ongoing growth in the Greenville-Spartanburg region and the availability of developable land within the district. The region continues to benefit from the continued expansion at the BMW plant in Spartanburg County (Aa3), which has spurred the relocation of several suppliers, and proximity to interstates 85 and 26. Recent announcements include a \$90 million distribution center for Rite Aid, a third of which is located in the district, and further residential and mixed use development at an old mill lot. While socioeconomic factors are below average, as seen by median family income equal to 79.4% and 67.7% of state and national levels, respectively, unemployment is low at 4.9% as of November 2015 due to the strong job market in the region.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

The county's tax base of \$3.8 billion has increased by a compound annual rate of 2.8% over the last five years. Officials continue to budget conservatively for future growth. The base is relatively diverse, with the top ten taxpayers accounting for 12.2% of 2015 assessed values. All larger taxpayers are reportedly stable. Enrollment has been flat in recent years, averaging a slight 0.2% decrease over the last five years. Officials expect enrollment to remain flat going forward, with possible increases following the residential development mentioned previously.

Financial Operations and Reserves: Financial Position to Remain Sound Due to Conservative Management

Due to conservative budgeting, strong fiscal policies and prudent fiscal management, the district's fiscal position will remain sound. Reserves across the operating funds, which include special revenue, debt service and special projects funds, as well as the General Fund, have averaged a sound 19.2% over the last five years. General Fund operations in fiscal 2015 were relatively balanced, with audited results reporting a \$300,000 surplus.

The fiscal 2016 General Fund budget represents a 2.3% increase over the prior year due to salary and step increases and an increase in teachers to reduce class sizes. Budgetary growth is offset by an increase in state aid and the use of \$300,000 in reserves. Officials report that year-to-date both revenues and expenditures are tracking in line with budget. The district's largest revenue is property taxes, accounting for 44.4% of operating revenues in fiscal 2015, followed by state aid (41.6%).

LIQUIDITY

The district pools cash across all operating funds in the General Fund. As a result, General Fund cash was a strong \$24.6 million (38.3% of revenues) in fiscal 2015. Net of due to other funds, cash remains sound at \$11 million (17.1% of revenues). The district does not rely on cashflow borrowing.

Debt and Pensions: Manageable Debt Burden Likely to Increase Following Upcoming Referendum

The district's manageable debt burden (1.3% of full value) will likely increase in the near term given significant capital plans. Citizens will vote on a \$185 million referendum in March to address the district's building needs. Assuming the referendum is approved, officials plan to issue bond anticipation notes over the course of five years before permanently financing the projects. On a pro-forma basis, the district's debt burden would increase to a high 6.1% of full value upon the issuance of the full amount. This is likely to be partially mitigated by ongoing tax base growth.

In accordance with state law, Spartanburg County performs property tax assessments, collects the district's debt service levies, holds sinking funds and makes debt service payments to the trustee. The county treasurer also is responsible for notifying the state treasurer in the event of an insufficiency in the district's debt service fund, in order to trigger the state's intercept of EFA funds under the SCSDCEP. The school district's rating is reflective of its limited authority to raise its operating millage rate, strong financial position, and manageable debt burden.

DEBT STRUCTURE

All of the district's outstanding general obligation debt will be repaid within ten years. All of the district's debt is fixed rate.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The district is not party to any derivative agreements.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

The district contributes to the South Carolina Retirement System (SCRS) and the South Carolina Police Officers Retirement System (PORS) cost-sharing, multiple-employer plans. The district's annual required contribution (ARC) for the plans was \$5.8 million in fiscal 2015, or 5.7% of operating expenditures. The district's adjusted net pension liability, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is \$200.8 million, or a slightly above average 1.92 times operating revenues. Moody's uses the adjusted net pension liability to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the district's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities. We determined the district's share of liability for the state-run plans in proportion to its contributions to the plans. Total fixed costs for pension and debt service summed to 21.3% of operating expenditures in fiscal 2015.

Management and Governance

The district benefits from an experienced management team, which relies on formal policies and conservative budget assumptions to maintain fiscal stability. The district has an adopted fund balance policy to maintain a minimum level of 12% of revenues.

South Carolina school districts have an institutional framework score of "Aa," or strong. Revenues, which are moderately predictable, are determined by a state funding formula that takes into account state aid and property taxes. Revenue-raising ability is moderate as schools are limited by Act 388, which sets a maximum increase in millage based on CPI increase and population growth. Act 388 can be overridden by two-thirds vote of the county body under certain circumstances. Expenditures consist primarily of highly predictable personnel costs. As South Carolina is a right-to-work state with moderate fixed costs, districts have high expenditure-cutting flexibility.

Legal Security

The bonds are secured by the county's unlimited general obligation pledge.

Use of Proceeds

Bond proceeds will fund a variety of capital needs, including improvements at the elementary school, roof repairs and technology purchases.

Obligor Profile

The district is located in the southeast central portion of Spartanburg County and includes the City of Spartanburg (Aa3). As of 2016, the district's enrollment was 6,779, with a total district population of 54,307 (2013).

Methodology

The principal methodology used in the underlying rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. The principal methodology used in the enhanced rating was State Aid Intercept Programs and Financings: Pre and Post Default published in July 2013. Please see the Ratings Methodologies page on www.moody's.com for a copy of these methodologies.

Ratings

Exhibit 2

SPARTANBURG COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 7, SC

Issue	Rating
General Obligation Bonds, Series 2016A	Aa2
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$5,500,000
Expected Sale Date	02/10/2016
Rating Description	General Obligation
General Obligation Bonds, Series 2016A	Aa1
Rating Type	Enhanced LT
Sale Amount	\$5,500,000
Expected Sale Date	02/10/2016
Rating Description	General Obligation

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1014419

Contacts

Lauren Von Bargaen
Analyst
lauren.vonbargaen@moodys.com

212-553-4491

Robert Weber
VP-Senior Analyst
robert.weber@moodys.com

212-553-7280

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454